REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Office Action mailed March 22, 2005, the Examiner has objected to Claims 1-11 because of certain informalities, and rejected Claims 1-11 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim that which Applicants regard as the invention (failure to recite where "stored tables" are stored). Further, the Examiner has rejected Claims 1-6 and 11 under 35 U.S.C. §102(a), but has kindly indicated that the subject matter of Claims 7-10 would be allowed if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

By this paper, Claim 1 has been amended to include the allowable subject matter of Claim 7 (now cancelled) so as to more particularly point out that which the Applicants regard as the invention, and Claim 8 has been amended to have proper dependency upon amended Claim 1. Claims 2-7 have been cancelled without prejudice. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that amended independent Claim 1, and Claims 8-11 dependent thereon, which are the claims remaining in this Application, are now allowable.

Applicants are not aware of any additional patents, publications, or other information not previously submitted to the Patent and Trademark Office which would be required under 37 C.F.R. §1.99.

This Application is now believed to be in condition for favorable reconsideration and early allowance, and such actions are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Lawrence P. Kessler
Registration No. 24,637

LPK:cvn / Attachment(s)
Telephone No. (585) 253-0123
Facsimile No. (585) 477-4646